

**AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION****SECTION OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW**321 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60610-4714  
(312) 988-5598FAX: (312) 988-6800  
E-mail: [iplaw@abanet.org](mailto:iplaw@abanet.org)  
[www.abanet.org/intelprop](http://www.abanet.org/intelprop)**CHAIR**Pamela Banner Krupka  
*Los Angeles, CA***CHAIR-ELECT**Gordon T. Arnold  
*Houston, TX***VICE CHAIR**Don W. Martens  
*Irvine, CA***SECRETARY**Robert C. Lindefeld  
*Pittsburgh, PA***FINANCIAL OFFICER**Theodore H. Davis, Jr.  
*Atlanta, GA***SECTION DELEGATES TO  
THE HOUSE OF DELEGATES**Jack C. Goldstein (2008)  
Donald R. Dunner (2009)**IMMEDIATE PAST CHAIR**Susan Barberi Montgomery  
*Boston, MA***ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY**Amy J. Benjamin  
*New York, NY***ANNUAL REPORT EDITOR**Thomas M. Wozny  
*Milwaukee, WI***NEWSLETTER EDITOR**Jennifer Mahalingappa  
*Bethesda, MD***CHAIR, CONTENT  
ADVISORY BOARD**Joseph M. Potenza  
*Washington, DC***COUNCIL MEMBERS**Robert A. Armitage (2008)  
*Indianapolis, IN*Q. Todd Dickinson (2008)  
*Fairfield, CT*Barbara J. Crahn (2008)  
*Minneapolis, MN*Antoinette M. Tease (2008)  
*Billings, MT*June M. Besek (2009)  
*New York, NY*John J. Gresens (2009)  
*Chicago, IL*Benjamin Chia Ho Hsing (2009)  
*New York, NY*Marylee Jenkins (2009)  
*New York, NY*Jonathan S. Jennings (2010)  
*Chicago, IL*Gale Roy Peterson (2010)  
*San Antonio, TX*Joseph M. Potenza (2010)  
*Washington, DC*Cheri M. Taylor (2010)  
*Reston, VA*L. Marisia Campbell (2011)  
*Ottawa, ON, Canada*Samson Helfgott (2011)  
*New York, NY*Cynthia E. Kernick (2011)  
*Pittsburgh, PA*Richard Rainey (2011)  
*Washington, DC*

SECTION STAFF

Michael G. Winkler  
*Director*Amy Mandel  
*Communications and Marketing*Carlos Vivanco  
*Technology Analyst*Hayden W. Gregory  
*Legislative Consultant*  
740 15th Street N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1009  
202-662-1772  
[gregoryh@staff.abanet.org](mailto:gregoryh@staff.abanet.org)

September 20, 2007

**The Honorable Patrick J. Leahy  
Chairman  
Committee on the Judiciary  
U.S. Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510****The Honorable Arlen Specter  
Ranking Member  
Committee on the Judiciary  
U.S. Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510**

Dear Chairman Leahy and Ranking Member Specter:

I am writing to express the opposition of the Section of Intellectual Property Law of the American Bar Association ("the Section") to the enactment of either S. 1145 or H.R. 1908, the "Patent Reform Act of 2007." S. 1145 was ordered favorably reported by the Committee on the Judiciary on July 19; H.R. 1908 passed the House on September 7 and is pending on the Senate Calendar. These views have not been considered by the ABA House of Delegates or Board of Governors, and should not be considered to be views of the Association.

For the past two and one-half years, the Section has worked diligently to develop and advocate changes that would improve patent quality, strengthen the patent system, and make it fair and equitable to small and large enterprises and individual inventors across the spectrum of innovative industries in this country. Our *White Paper: Agenda for 21<sup>st</sup> Century Patent Reform* details changes that the Section believes would improve and modernize the patent laws and position the United States to continue to be the world leader in innovation and technological development in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Notwithstanding the Section's strong commitment to patent law reform, we cannot support harmful reforms, and we believe that the bills pending in the Senate offer more harm than benefit. While the bills contain many provisions that we support, they contain several provisions that compel the Section to oppose their enactment. One or both bills contain:

- Unfair and ambiguous provisions for the calculation of reasonable royalty damages.
- Unnecessary and ill-advised changes to the federal venue rules for patent cases.
- Misguided limitations on the adoption of a first-inventor-to-file system and associated revisions to novelty and obviousness standards.
- Post-grant review procedures that will create uncertainty and add unnecessarily to the expense of maintaining a patent position.
- Inadequate reform of the law of inequitable conduct.
- Inappropriate ceding of congressional responsibilities to the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Undue burdening of patent applicants by permitting the Director to require applicants to conduct searches for all patent applications.

The Section will continue to work toward meaningful patent law reform, and looks forward to working with Congress toward that end. However, we have reluctantly concluded that meaningful reform will not result from final legislation based on the pending bills. We oppose the enactment of either S. 1145 or H.R. 1908.

Sincerely,

**Pamela Banner Krupka  
Chair  
Section of Intellectual Property Law**

cc: The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch